

Australia Prime Minister Julia Gillard A Conspiracy Theorist " Nutter Wearing Tin Foil Hat"

From The Desk
Lloyd T Vance
SouthEastAsiaNew.org

Greetings Australians

And people from around the World
Australian CIA – Mossad controlled Prime Minister Julia Gillard has been proven, shown up as a Conspiracy Theorist – Nutter if You Like Wearing A Tin Foil Hat on Her Head.

With NO Evidence to back up claims about Global Warming and due this week to pass Global Warming – Laws in Parliament which 85% of Australians and Business disagree with being forced upon the country.

Conspiracy Theorist – Nutter In Tin Foil Hat – That's Rubbish, Julia Gillard will impose a New Tax on Australian Businesses and Individuals to pay.

This amounts to the Greatest Fraud – Theft – Transfer Of Wealth from Australian Businesses and the Australian Public to be paid to The Zionist Banking Elites – Wall Street Banks, for them to invest this FREE money, which will be collected in Australia to enrich their own fortunes.

These same Zionist Banking Elites – Wall Street Banks, and other Zionist controlled Reserve Banks around the World are not happy with the FREE Money.

Given to them by The US Federal Reserve, but went on the balance sheet of The US Government under.

- George W Bush (Bank Bail Outs)
- Barrack Obama (Bank Bail Outs)

In both cases the gullible American Public were told each Bank Bail Out, FREE Money given to the Banks by the US Federal Reserve but went onto Uncle Sam balance sheet, would only be \$730 Billion under George Bush, \$750 Billion under Barrack Obama.

But in the end, the final cost was closer to \$12 Trillion that went onto Uncle Sam balance sheet of what the US Government owes the US Federal Reserve.

On top of the \$14 Trillion Uncle Sam already owes the US Federal Reserve Bank and who knows how much more the US Government owes this Private Bank The US Federal Reserve, which makes up a Banking Cartel of Private Banks from around the World.

Upwards of \$1 Trillion Dollars will be sucked out of the Australian Economy, collected by the Australian Federal Government and be paid over to these Wall Street Bankers.

- Zionist controlled Puppet Julia Gillard has been given her orders, and Treasurer Wayne Swan & Julia Gillard are marching to their orders.

Similar to the “Debt Based Securities Investments” which were sold to all the Banks, Investments Houses around the World, but then collapsed after they all got caught holding worthless “Debt Based Securities” which never produced 1 cent in income.

These same Wall Street Banks need new worthless Investments to sell people to rob them of their savings so “New Investment Securities” will be sold called “Carbon Credits” around the World to any sucker stupid enough to invest in them.

Back to The Global Warming Scam & TAX Scam some Western Governments are trying to force through to enrich the Zionist Banking Elites – Wall Street Bankers and fill up their coffers – Bank Accounts.

Who Do You People Believe?

Julia Gillard, one man trick pony Australian Professor who wrote a White paper on Global Warming and recommendation to impose a New Tax or?

30,000 yes **30,000** Scientist Who Say Global Warming Is A Scam to introduce a New Tax so this FREE money will get paid to Zionist Bankers to enrich their own fortunes.

I believe the **30,000 Scientist**; enjoy the following reading people of the enclosed.

30,000 Anti-Global Warming Scientists Can't Be Wrong

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/22624>

Fred Dardick – Canada Free Press April 30, 2010

Nature Magazine, the academic journal that introduced the world to X-rays, DNA double helix, wave nature of particles, pulsars, and more recently the human genome, is set to publish a paper in June that shows atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) is responsible for only 5-10% of observed warming on Earth.

As explained by the paper's author Professor Jyrki Kauppinen, "The climate is warming, yes, but not because of greenhouse gases."

For the preeminent scientific journal in the world to publish Kauppinen's work shows conclusively that Al Gore's much touted "scientific consensus" supporting human-caused global warming is a myth.

Eco-censors and the global warming hoax

For years scientists have been trying to get out the message past the eco-censors that there are thousands and thousands of them who do not buy into the global warming hoax.

Since 2009 more than 238 physicists including Nobel Prize winner Ivar Giaever and professors from Harvard, MIT, Princeton, UCLA and dozens of other top

universities and research institutions have signed an open letter addressed to the Council of the American Physical Society saying the scientific data did not support the conclusion that increased CO2 concentrations are responsible for global warming.

In 2009 over 700 international scientists, including many current and former UN IPCC members, joined with Senator Inhofe in a Senate Minority Report to express their doubts over man-made global warming claims.

In the report U.S. Government Atmospheric Scientist Stanley B. Goldenberg was quoted as saying "It is a blatant lie put forth in the media that makes it seem there is only a fringe of scientists who don't buy into anthropogenic global warming."

In the largest effort to date to document global warming dissent in the scientific community, 31,486 Americans with university degrees in science - including 9,029 PhD, 7,157 MS, 2,586 MD and DVM, and 12,714 BS or equivalent - have signed on with the Global Warming Petition Project to state "the human-caused global warming hypothesis is without scientific validity."

Many of the best and brightest minds in the United States and around the world are in total agreement

The so-called global warming "scientific consensus" is a complete fabrication and does not exist.

<http://canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/2624>

Propaganda!Teaches Students the Horror of Man Made Climate Change

<http://theintelhub.com/2010/10/05/canada-teaches-students-the-horror-of-man-made-climate-change/>

OTTAWA, ONTARIO—(Marketwire – Oct. 5, 2010) – The physical effects of climate change on Canada in the next century could touch everything from human health and community infrastructure to water resources and even tourism and recreation activities, according to a newly-compiled presentation of scientific research published today.

National Round Table on the Environment and the Economy



Joint Initiative Lays Out Physical Effects of Climate Change on Canada

OTTAWA, ONTARIO—(Marketwire – Oct. 5, 2010)

– The physical effects of climate change on Canada in the next century could touch everything from human health and community infrastructure to water resources and even tourism and recreation activities, according to a newly-compiled presentation of scientific research published today.

Called Degrees of Change, the diagram is the first comprehensive illustration of expected climate impacts published for Canada and appears today in editions of Canadian Geographic and Géographica magazines.

Resting on a large body of published scientific information, it lays out 60 specific effects of climate change at increasing levels of warming as part of a joint initiative between the National Round Table on the Environment and the Economy and the Royal Canadian Geographical Society.

At two-degrees warming, for example, the diagram shows that summer Arctic sea ice extent could be halved, runoff in the South Saskatchewan River basin significantly reduced, and shipping through the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence Seaway could become more costly due to lowering water levels.

The two-degree marker represents temperature increases over pre-industrial levels and is significant because Canada and other G8 nations have agreed to take measures to limit global temperature increases to no more than that level.

Climate change is not just a theory.

It's taking place now," said NRTEE Chair Robert (Bob) Page.

"That means we must go beyond cutting carbon emissions. We must start adapting our behaviour, our communities, and our economic activity to the emerging reality of climate change."

The diagram and feature articles in the magazines go on to show even more risks to Canada's coastal communities, fish and wildlife habitat, and human health, if global temperatures rise beyond the two-degree point.

Not all impacts compiled in the diagram are necessarily negative.

For example, a two-degree increase could see timber gains from enhanced tree growth in some northerly locations, greater access to northern oil, gas and mineral resources, and an increased abundance of Atlantic cod north of the 60th parallel.

Some tourism and recreational pursuits, such as skiing, would likely be hurt while others, such as golf, could benefit.

The diagram is meant to illustrate a range of possible impacts that are scientifically-accepted and projected at this time.

Besides the magazines and poster diagram, the RCGS and NRTEE will sponsor expert panel discussions and have collaborated with the RCGS' Canadian Council for Geographic Education to produce an education resource package to be distributed to 12,000 middle and secondary schools across Canada highlighting the implications of regional and local impacts of climate change.

The RCGS Canadian Atlas Online (CAOL) will also carry a dedicated module on Climate Prosperity with interactive maps, diagrams and 13 lesson plans in the CAOL Learning Centre.

Dealing with the impacts of climate change means educating our children, said RCGS President Gisèle Jacob.

“The joint Climate Prosperity initiative with the NRTEE reinforces the Royal Canadian Geographical Society’s educational role in fostering environmental stewardship in Canada” said Ms. Jacob.

“Education is key to widening public understanding of our changing climate, the impacts and adaptive solutions.”

To generate a national conversation on the impacts of climate change and potential solutions, the two organizations are hosting a series of panel discussions with leading Canadian experts over the next two weeks.

The first will take place today from 2 p.m. to 4 p.m. at the Canadian Museum of Nature. Regional sessions will take place in Halifax on Oct. 13th, Montreal on Oct. 14th, Toronto on Oct. 18th, Vancouver on Oct. 20th and Saskatoon on Oct. 21st.

“Adapt and prosper will be increasingly central to Canadian governments, communities, and businesses as these effects become more and more evident,” said NRTEE President and CEO David McLaughlin.

This evening, the two organizations will host a reception at the Canadian Museum of Nature, where His Excellency the Right Honourable David Johnston, Governor General of Canada will speak. Also speaking will be federal Environment Minister Jim Prentice.

Astronaut Dr. Robert Thirsk will provide a video message in support of the initiative.

His Excellency is the founding chair of the National Round Table on the Environment and the Economy.

Media are welcome to attend both the panel discussion and speeches at tonight's reception. The bilingual diagram is available both in print and online through Canadian Geographic, Géographica, and the NRTEE.

PATHETIC PROPAGANDA ATTEMPT TO SELL CARBON TAX AS PART OF THE WAR ON TERROR!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RbyWiFpDNXM&feature=player_embedded

Even for the Global Warming Cult, this is pretty damned desperate!

The Green Agenda Is About Getting Rid Of As Many Humans As Possible

http://dprogram.net/2010/10/05/the-green-agenda-is-about-getting-rid-of-as-many-humans-as-possible/?utm_source=twitterfeed&utm_medium=twitter

Most people tend to think of environmentalists as warm, cuddly hippies that just want all of us to love one another and to do what is right for the environment.

And in fact, there are a few people out there who are actually like that.

However, the people at the very heart of the green agenda are a quite different breed.

The truth is that there are a growing number of environmental activists (including some very, very famous people) who are publicly advocating the end of our freedoms, the establishment of a Big Brother style world government and the systematic eradication of at least 90% of humanity all for the good of the environment.

Unfortunately, this is not a joke and it is not an exaggeration.

The Green Agenda Is About Getting Rid Of As Many Humans As Possible

Most people tend to think of environmentalists as warm, cuddly hippies that just want all of us to love one another and to do what is right for the environment.

And in fact, there are a few people out there who are actually like that.

However, the people at the very heart of the green agenda are a quite different breed.

The truth is that there are a growing number of environmental activists (including some very, very famous people) who are publicly advocating the end of our freedoms, the establishment of a Big Brother style world government and the systematic eradication of at least 90% of humanity all for the good of the environment.

Unfortunately, this is not a joke and it is not an exaggeration.

As you will see below, these people are very, very serious.

To these eco-fascists, climate change is the number one threat to the earth, and in order to eliminate that threat "democracy must be put on hold", an authoritarian world government must be established and we need to start getting rid of as many humans as possible.

Posted below is a new video about the importance of fighting "climate change" that was recently released by a prominent global warming activist organization known as 10:10 Global.

This short video depicts children and adults being savagely blown to pieces for not reducing their carbon footprints.

The organization has since pulled the video and has issued an apology, but the fact that anyone would actually put out such a video is absolutely chilling.

In fact, there are many advocates of the green agenda who wish that they could actually press a button and do this kind of thing to "climate change deniers"....

Unfortunately, this type of thinking is not confined to just a few environmental nutcases.

For example, Microsoft billionaire Bill Gates is absolutely obsessed with global warming and with population control.

The video posted next contains excerpts from a speech that Gates gave at a recent TED conference.

As you watch this, keep in mind that Gates has personally donated hundreds of millions of dollars towards the development of new vaccines and towards “reproductive health” initiatives around the globe.

During his speech, Gates presents a formula for calculating the carbon dioxide that he believes is causing global warming....

$$\text{CO}_2 = P \times S \times E \times C$$

P = People

S = Services per person

E = Energy per service

C = CO₂ per energy unit

In the video that you are about to watch below, Gates tells the audience that in order to get carbon dioxide levels on earth down to where they need to be, then “probably one of these numbers is going to have to get pretty close to zero.”

So which of those numbers is going to get pretty close to zero?

Will it be “P”?

In the video, Gates describes how the number of people might be reduced....

The world today has 6.8 billion people... that’s headed up to about 9 billion.

Now if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by perhaps 10 or 15 percent.

Now wait a second.

Aren't vaccines, health care and reproductive health services supposed to help people live longer?

Keep that question in mind as you watch this video....

There are others in the environmental movement who are even more open about what they feel needs to be done in order to save the planet from humanity.

For example, James Lovelock, the creator of the Gaia hypothesis, stated in an interview with the Guardian earlier this year that "democracy must be put on hold" if the fight against global warming is going to be successful and that only "a few people with authority" should be permitted to rule the planet until the crisis is solved.

A Finnish environmentalist named Pentti Linkola has gone even farther than that.

Linkola is openly calling for climate change deniers to be "re-educated", for an eco-fascist world government to be established, for humans to be forcibly sterilized and for the majority of humans to be killed.

The following is how author Paul Joseph Watson recently described some of Linkola's proposals....

Under Linkola's proposal to save earth from man-made climate change, "only a few million people would work as farmers and fishermen, without modern conveniences such as the automobile."

This system would be enforced by the creation of a "Green Police" who would abandon "the syrup of ethics" that governs human behavior to completely dominate the population.

Linkola calls for forced abortions, while also adding that another world war would be "a happy occasion for the planet" because it would eradicate tens of millions of people.

The environmentalist believes that only jackbooted tyranny can help to save mother earth from "the worst ideologies in the world" which he defines as "growth and freedom".

Unfortunately, this kind of insanity does not just reside in Finland.

The reality is that academia is brimming with nutjobs such as this who want to see the vast majority of humanity wiped out.

For example, Professor of Biology at the University of Texas at Austin Eric R. Pianka is another advocate of radical human population control.

In an article entitled "What nobody wants to hear, but everyone needs to know", Pianka made the following shocking statements....

*First, and foremost, we must get out of denial and recognize that Earth simply cannot support many billions of people.

*This planet might be able to support perhaps as many as half a billion people who could live a sustainable life in relative comfort. Human populations must be greatly diminished, and as quickly as possible to limit further environmental damage.

*I do not bear any ill will toward humanity. However, I am convinced that the world WOULD clearly be much better off without so many of us.

Now keep in mind that this is a professor that is teaching our kids.

People actually pay a lot of money to get educated by this guy.

Unfortunately, his views are very much representative of the mainstream these days.

In fact, some of the wealthiest and most prominent people in the world are absolutely obsessed with the green agenda and with population control.

Just consider the following quotes....

David Rockefeller: "The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary ecosystems is becoming appallingly evident."

CNN Founder Ted Turner: "A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal."

Dave Foreman, Earth First Co-Founder: "My three main goals would be to reduce human population to about 100 million worldwide, destroy the industrial infrastructure and see wilderness, with it's full complement of species, returning throughout the world."

Maurice Strong: "Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"

Michael Oppenheimer: "The only hope for the world is to make sure there is not another United States. We can't let other countries have the same number of cars, the amount of industrialization, we have in the US. We have to stop these Third World countries right where they are."

Not only that, but this radical population control agenda has actually reached the highest levels of the U.S. government.

In an absolutely shocking interview with the New York Times, U.S. Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg openly admitted that abortion is all about population control.

In particular, she confessed that abortion is about getting rid of "populations that we don't want to have too many of"....

"Frankly I had thought that at the time Roe was decided, there was concern about population growth and particularly growth in populations that we don't want to have too many of."

So was she asked to step down from the Supreme Court?

Did anyone make much of a fuss?

No, Ginsburg is still serving on the Supreme Court and that statement is long forgotten.

You see, the truth is that this population control philosophy runs rampant throughout the highest levels of the U.S. government.

John P. Holdren, Barack Obama's top science advisor, co-authored a textbook entitled "Ecoscience" back in 1977 in which he actually advocated mass sterilization, compulsory abortion, a one world government and a global police force to enforce population control.

On page 837 of Ecoscience, a claim is made that compulsory abortion would be perfectly legal under the U.S. Constitution....

"Indeed, it has been concluded that compulsory population-control laws, even including laws requiring compulsory abortion, could be sustained under the existing Constitution if the population crisis became sufficiently severe to endanger the society."

On pages 942 and 943, a call is made for the creation of a "planetary regime" that would control the global economy and enforce population control measures....

Toward a Planetary Regime

“Perhaps those agencies, combined with UNEP and the United Nations population agencies, might eventually be developed into a Planetary Regime—sort of an international superagency for population, resources, and environment.

Such a comprehensive Planetary Regime could control the development, administration, conservation, and distribution of all natural resources, renewable or nonrenewable, at least insofar as international implications exist.

Thus the Regime could have the power to control pollution not only in the atmosphere and oceans, but also in such freshwater bodies as rivers and lakes that cross international boundaries or that discharge into the oceans.

The Regime might also be a logical central agency for regulating all international trade, perhaps including assistance from DCs to LDCs, and including all food on the international market.”

“The Planetary Regime might be given responsibility for determining the optimum population for the world and for each region and for arbitrating various countries’ shares within their regional limits. Control of population size might remain the responsibility of each government, but the Regime would have some power to enforce the agreed limits.”

On page 917, the authors advocate the surrender of U.S. national sovereignty to an international organization....

"If this could be accomplished, security might be provided by an armed international organization, a global analogue of a police force. Many people have recognized this as a goal, but the way to reach it remains obscure in a world where factionalism seems, if anything, to be increasing. The first step necessarily involves partial surrender of sovereignty to an international organization."

You see, that is what those obsessed with the green agenda want.

They want total control of us all so that they can impose the measures that they believe are necessary to "fix" the planet.

Unfortunately, the measures that they believe are necessary would make George Orwell's 1984 look like a Sunday picnic.

And the sad thing is that the world is not anywhere close to overpopulated.

If you took 6 billion people and put them four to house on a quarter acre of land, you could fit them all in Alaska and still have room to spare.

I have actually written quite extensively in the past about the radical green agenda of the global elite.

If you are interested in learning more, I would recommend the following articles....

The Green Police

*Governments Around The World Are Eagerly Adopting The Strict Population Control Agenda Of The United Nations

*Yes, They Really Do Want To Reduce The Population – 22 Shocking Population Control Quotes From The Global Elite That Will Make You Want To Lose Your Lunch

*The Dangerous Myth Of Overpopulation

*One Less Child? Environmental Extremists Warn That Overpopulation Is Causing Climate Change And Will Ultimately Destroy The Earth

*Hillary Clinton: Population Control Will Now Become The Centerpiece Of U.S. Foreign Policy

*New U.N. Report: We Must Reduce The Population To Fight Climate Change

*The Population Control Agenda Behind The Global Warming Movement: For The Environmental Extremists At Copenhagen Population Reduction Is The “Cheapest” Way To Reduce Carbon Emissions

*To The Global Elite The Math Is Simple: Human Overpopulation Is Causing Climate Change So The Solution To Climate Change Is Population Control